**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 YOANDY FERNANDEZ-MORALES, Case No. 3:23-CV-00068-CLB 5 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO EXTEND 6 ٧. 7 [ECF No. 28] CURRIER, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 Before the Court is Defendants Donna Abram, Holly Crosby, Karissa Currier, Bob 10 Faulkner, Nowell Granados, Michael Minev, and Symour Omandac's (collectively referred 11 to as "Defendants") motion for a 60-day extension of time to file dispositive motions. (ECF 12 No. 28.) Defendants assert good cause exists to warrant the requested extension, as 13 Defense counsel was recently assigned to a four-day jury trial that begins October 29. 14 2024, and the requested extension would allow counsel sufficient time to gather and 15 review all documentation necessary to file a proper and complete motion for summary 16 judgment. (Id.) 17 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) the court may, for good 18 cause, extend time if a request is made before the original time expires. The good cause 19 inquiry focuses primarily on the movant's diligence. DRK Photo v. McGraw-Hill Global 20 Educ. Holdings, LLC, 870 F.3d 978, 989 (9th Cir. 2017). 21

Having reviewed the motion, the Court finds that good cause exists to warrant granting an extension but finds that a 60-day extension is not warranted and instead will grant a 30-day extension. Accordingly, the motion, (ECF No. 28), is **granted in part and denied in part**. Defendants shall file their dispositive motions by no later than **November** 

25 **15, 2024**.

22

23

24

26

27

28

DATED: October 15, 2024

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE